

NARRATIVE REVIEW



Polymer nanocomposites in biomedical applications: Challenges and opportunities

Shubham M. Shende, Mahesh A. Hadke, Amit P. Awari, Maroti Jeurkar

Department of Pharmaceutics, Manvatkar College of Pharmacy, Chandrapur, Maharashtra, India

ABSTRACT

The rapid progress of nanotechnology has profoundly reshaped the development of biomaterials, leading to the emergence of polymer nanocomposites as advanced platforms for biomedical applications. Polymer nanocomposites consist of a polymeric matrix reinforced with nanoscale fillers such as nanoparticles, nanofibers, or nanotubes, which collectively impart superior physicochemical, mechanical, and biological properties compared to conventional polymers. Their increasing relevance in biomedicine is attributed to their ability to mimic native tissue architecture, enhance therapeutic performance, and address limitations of traditional biomaterials, including inadequate mechanical strength, limited bioactivity, and poor control over drug release profiles.

With the growing demand for safer, more effective, and patient-specific healthcare solutions, polymer nanocomposites have gained considerable attention due to their multifunctional nature. This review critically examines the challenges and opportunities associated with polymer nanocomposites in major biomedical applications, including drug delivery systems, tissue engineering, wound healing, and medical devices. A systematic literature search was performed using major scientific databases such as Web of Science, PubMed, Scopus, and Google Scholar.

Peer-reviewed studies were analysed with emphasis on recent advances in synthesis strategies, material characterisation techniques, and biomedical performance.

The reviewed literature highlights that polymer nanocomposites demonstrate enhanced biocompatibility, tunable mechanical properties, controlled and targeted drug delivery, and notable antimicrobial activity. Despite these advantages, several challenges persist, including potential nanotoxicity, regulatory complexities, reproducibility issues, and constraints related to large-scale manufacturing. Although extensive research exists, there remains a lack of integrated reviews correlating material performance with biosafety, regulatory compliance, and manufacturability. Addressing these gaps is essential for successful clinical translation and commercial adoption of polymer nanocomposites.

KEY WORDS

Biomedical applications; Drug delivery; Nanocomposites; Polymers; Tissue engineering

ARTICLE HISTORY

Received 18 April 2025;

Revised 16 May 2025;

Accepted 28 May 2025

Introduction

Polymer composites have emerged as an important class of advanced materials due to their ability to integrate the inherent advantages of polymers such as flexibility, lightweight nature, ease of processing, and cost-effectiveness with the enhanced mechanical strength, stiffness, and functional properties of reinforcing agents [1]. Traditionally, polymer composites incorporated micro-sized fillers such as glass fibers, carbon fibers, and ceramic particles to improve mechanical and thermal performance [2]. These conventional composites have been widely utilized across various sectors, including packaging, structural materials, and biomedical applications, owing to their durability and adaptability [3].

The advent of nanotechnology has brought a paradigm shift in the development of polymer composites, leading to the emergence of polymer nanocomposites [4]. Nanomaterials such as carbon nanotubes, graphene, nanoclays, metal and metal oxide nanoparticles, and polymeric nanofibers possess exceptionally high surface area-to-volume ratios and unique physicochemical properties [5]. When uniformly dispersed within polymer matrices, these nanofillers significantly enhance mechanical strength, thermal stability, electrical conductivity, barrier properties, and surface functionality, even

at very low filler concentrations [6]. This nanoscale reinforcement enables precise tailoring of material properties that cannot be achieved using conventional micro-scale fillers [7].

The integration of nanotechnology with polymer science has been particularly transformative in the biomedical field [8]. Polymer nanocomposites have demonstrated remarkable potential in drug delivery systems, tissue engineering scaffolds, wound healing materials, biosensing platforms, and implantable medical devices [9]. Their ability to provide controlled and targeted drug release, mimic the extracellular matrix, promote cell adhesion and proliferation, impart antimicrobial activity, and improve mechanical durability makes them highly attractive for advanced healthcare applications [10]. Consequently, polymer nanocomposites are increasingly being explored as multifunctional biomaterials capable of addressing complex clinical needs [11].

Despite these promising advantages, the translation of polymer nanocomposites into clinical practice faces significant limitations [12]. One of the major concerns is balancing enhanced bio-functionality with biosafety [13]. While nanofillers improve properties such as antimicrobial

*Correspondence: Mr. Shubham M. Shende, Department of Pharmaceutics, Manvatkar College of Pharmacy, Chandrapur, India, e-mail: sshende35@gmail.com

© 2024 The Author(s). Published by Reseapro Journals. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

activity, drug-loading capacity, and osteoconductivity, they may also induce cytotoxicity, genotoxicity, inflammatory responses, or long-term accumulation in biological systems if not carefully engineered [14]. Additionally, the long-term in vivo biocompatibility, degradation behaviour, and fate of nanoparticles remain insufficiently understood [15]. From a manufacturing perspective, challenges such as nanoparticle agglomeration, lack of reproducibility, limited scalability, high production costs, and inadequate regulatory standardization continue to hinder commercialization and clinical translation [16].

Considering these challenges, a clear research gap exists in the form of limited integrated evaluations that correlate functional performance with toxicity, manufacturing feasibility, and regulatory considerations [17]. Most studies focus on isolated applications or laboratory-scale outcomes, offering insufficient insight into long-term safety and large-scale implementation. Therefore, the primary objective of this review is to critically evaluate the challenges and opportunities associated with polymer nanocomposites in biomedical sciences, with particular emphasis on their functional performance, safety concerns, manufacturing scalability, and translational feasibility [18]. By addressing these aspects collectively, this review aims to provide a comprehensive framework to guide the development of safe, effective, and clinically translatable polymer nanocomposites for future biomedical applications [19–22].

Materials and Methods

This review was conducted using a systematic, structured, and literature-based methodology to collect, evaluate, and synthesize published research on polymer nanocomposites in biomedical sciences. The study did not involve experimental synthesis or in-house material characterization; instead, it relied exclusively on critical analysis of peer-reviewed literature.

Literature search strategy

A comprehensive literature search was performed across major scientific databases, including Google Scholar, PubMed, Scopus, and Web of Science. Relevant articles published primarily within the last 10–15 years were considered to capture both foundational and recent advancements. Additional studies were identified through cross-referencing of selected articles to ensure inclusion of seminal contributions.

Keywords used included combinations of polymer nanocomposites, biomedical applications, drug delivery, tissue engineering, wound healing, implants, biocompatibility, toxicity, and characterization techniques.

Applications

In review-based research, classification and organization of retrieved literature constitute a methodological step. Therefore, the Applications subsection is included within the Methods section to describe how the literature was systematically categorized and analyzed [23–25].

Based on thematic relevance, the selected studies were methodologically grouped into the following biomedical application domains:

- Drug delivery systems.
- Tissue engineering and regenerative medicine.

- Wound healing and antimicrobial materials.
- Biosensing and diagnostics
- Implants and prosthetics

This structured categorization enabled:

- Comparative evaluation across application areas.
- Identification of application-specific material design strategies.
- Systematic assessment of challenges and opportunities

Thus, the Applications subsection represents an analytical framework, not experimental reporting, and is fully consistent with accepted review methodologies.

Characterization tools

Although no experimental characterization was performed in this review, characterization tools are discussed because they form the primary basis for performance evaluation in the reviewed studies. Their inclusion serves an analytical and interpretative purpose, allowing comparison of how polymer nanocomposites were validated across the literature [26–30].

The review critically examines reported outcomes from commonly used techniques such as:

- FTIR for chemical interactions.
- SEM and TEM for morphology and dispersion.
- DSC and TGA for thermal behaviour.
- Mechanical testing for structural suitability.
- Cytotoxicity and biocompatibility assays for biological safety.

Discussion of these tools enables assessment of:

- Reliability of reported material properties.
- Adequacy of biological safety evaluation.
- Translational relevance of laboratory findings.

Discussion

Drug delivery applications

Polymer nanocomposites have emerged as advanced drug delivery platforms capable of addressing key limitations of conventional dosage forms, including poor solubility, burst release, and lack of targeting. Compared to traditional polymeric carriers, nanocomposite systems demonstrate enhanced drug-loading efficiency, improved stability, and controlled release behaviour due to nanoscale filler–polymer interactions [31].

Studies on polymer–clay, polymer–silica, and polymer–carbon nanotube nanocomposites consistently report improved pharmacokinetic profiles and site-specific delivery. However, when compared with lipid-based nanocarriers or polymeric nanoparticles, nanocomposites offer superior mechanical and structural stability but raise greater concerns regarding long-term toxicity, particularly for carbon-based nanofillers. This trade-off highlights the need for careful surface modification and dose optimization [32].

Tissue engineering and regenerative medicine

Compared to conventional polymer scaffolds, polymer nanocomposites more effectively mimic the extracellular matrix due to enhanced surface roughness, bioactivity, and mechanical strength. Incorporation of hydroxyapatite, graphene oxide, and bioactive glass has been shown to significantly improve osteoconductivity and cellular response [33].

When compared with ceramic-only scaffolds, polymer nanocomposites provide improved flexibility and degradation control yet often fall short in achieving native tissue-level mechanical strength. Advanced fabrication techniques such as 3D printing and electrospinning have partially addressed this limitation, but reproducibility and scalability remain major challenges [34].

Wound healing and antimicrobial applications

Polymer nanocomposites incorporating silver or zinc oxide nanoparticles outperform conventional wound dressings in antimicrobial efficacy and healing rate. Compared with antibiotic-loaded dressings, nanocomposites offer sustained antimicrobial action and reduced resistance development. However, metal nanoparticle accumulation and cytotoxicity remain unresolved concerns, limiting long-term clinical use [35].

Implantable and wearable devices

Nanocomposites demonstrate superior wear resistance, mechanical durability, and functional integration compared to traditional polymer implants. Nevertheless, in comparison with metallic implants, polymer nanocomposites still exhibit limitations in long-term load-bearing capacity and fatigue resistance, necessitating hybrid material approaches [36].

Limitations and Future Scope

Limitations

Despite promising laboratory-scale results, polymer nanocomposites face several limitations:

- Incomplete understanding of long-term toxicity and degradation behavior.
- Lack of standardized synthesis and testing protocols.
- Insufficient long-term in vivo and clinical data.
- Challenges in large-scale manufacturing and batch reproducibility.
- Regulatory uncertainty due to material complexity.

Future Scope

Future research should focus on:

- Development of biodegradable and biosafe nanofillers.
- Long-term in vivo toxicity and pharmacokinetic studies.
- Standardization of characterization and testing protocols.
- Scalable and cost-effective manufacturing techniques.
- Integration of smart, stimuli-responsive functionalities for personalized medicine.

Conclusion

Polymer nanocomposites represent a versatile and promising class of biomaterials with broad applicability in drug delivery, tissue engineering, wound healing, and implantable devices. Their ability to combine tunable mechanical properties, controlled drug release, and enhanced bioactivity distinguishes them from conventional polymeric systems. However, challenges related to toxicity, long-term stability, reproducibility, and regulatory approval continue to limit their clinical translation. Addressing these limitations through safer material design, standardized evaluation protocols, and scalable manufacturing strategies is critical. Future research emphasizing personalized medicine, smart nanocomposites, and eco-friendly synthesis

approaches is expected to accelerate the successful integration of polymer nanocomposites into next-generation biomedical technologies.

Acknowledgements

The authors are thankful to the Principal, Manwatkar College of Pharmacy, Ghodpeth, for providing the necessary facilities to carry out this work.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

1. Oladele IO, Omotosho TF, Adediran AA. Polymer-based composites: an indispensable material for present and future applications. *Int J Polym Sci*. 2020;2020(1):8834518. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2020/8834518>
2. Gouda K, Bhowmik S, Das B. A review on allotropes of carbon and natural filler-reinforced thermomechanical properties of upgraded epoxy hybrid composite. *Rev Adv Mater Sci*. 2021;60(1):237-275. <https://doi.org/10.1515/rams-2021-0024>
3. Musa AA, Bello A, Adams SM, Onwualu AP, Anye VC, Bello KA, et al. Nano-enhanced polymer composite materials: a review of current advancements and challenges. *Polymers*. 2025;17(7):893. <https://doi.org/10.3390/polym17070893>
4. Njuguna J, Ansari F, Sachse S, Rodriguez VM, Siqqique S, Zhu H. Nanomaterials, nanofillers, and nanocomposites: types and properties. In *Health and environmental safety of nanomaterials* 2021: 3-37. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-820505-1.00011-0>
5. Li Y, Huang X, Zeng L, Li R, Tian H, Fu X, et al. A review of the electrical and mechanical properties of carbon nanofiller-reinforced polymer composites. *J Mater Sci*. 2019;54(2):1036-1076. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10853-018-3006-9>
6. Harish V, Tewari D, Gaur M, Yadav AB, Swaroop S, Bechelany M, et al. Review on nanoparticles and nanostructured materials: Bioimaging, biosensing, drug delivery, tissue engineering, antimicrobial, and agro-food applications. *Nanomaterials*. 2022;12(3):457. <https://doi.org/10.3390/nano12030457>
7. Sabet M. From Self-Assembly to Sustainability: Advanced Polymerization Techniques for Energy, Healthcare, and Robotics. *Polym Plast Technol Mater*. 2025;64(6):763-793. <https://doi.org/10.1080/25740881.2024.2438044>
8. Silva M, Alves NM, Paiva MC. Graphene-polymer nanocomposites for biomedical applications. *Polym Adv Technol*. 2018;29(2):687-700. <https://doi.org/10.1002/pat.4164>
9. Kumar A, Sharma K, Dixit AR. A review of the mechanical and thermal properties of graphene and its hybrid polymer nanocomposites for structural applications. *J Mater Sci*. 2019;54(8):5992-6026. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10853-018-03244-3>
10. Almontasser A, Al-Mufti SM, Arya RK. Nanofillers in Drug Delivery Industry: Applications. *Handbook of Nanofillers*. 2025:1479-519. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-96-2407-2_78
11. Mandal M, Halim Z. Application of Smart Polymeric Composites/Nanocomposites in Drug Delivery. In *Smart Polymeric Nanocomposites: Synthesis and Applications* 2025: 74-96. <https://doi.org/10.2174/97898153220401250101>

12. Pina S, Oliveira JM, Reis RL. Natural-based nanocomposites for bone tissue engineering and regenerative medicine: A review. *Adv Mater.* 2015;27(7):1143-1169. <https://doi.org/10.1002/adma.201403354>
13. Sun F, Zhou H, Lee J. Various preparation methods of highly porous hydroxyapatite/polymer nanoscale biocomposites for bone regeneration. *Acta Biomater.* 2011;7(11):3813-3828. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actbio.2011.07.002>
14. Pino P, Bosco F, Mollea C, Onida B. Antimicrobial nano-zinc oxide biocomposites for wound healing applications: a review. *Pharmaceutics.* 2023;15(3):970. <https://doi.org/10.3390/pharmaceutics15030970>
15. Fahmy HM, Abu Serea ES, Salah-Eldin RE, Al-Hafiry SA, Ali MK, Shalan AE, et al. Recent progress in graphene-and related carbon-nanomaterial-based electrochemical biosensors for early disease detection. *ACS Biomater Sci Eng.* 2022;8(3):964-1000. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acsbomaterials.1c00710>
16. Kolawole FO, Kolawole SK, Owa FA, Madueke CI, Adigun OD. Polymeric nanocomposites for artificial implants. In *Hybrid polymeric nanocomposites from agricultural waste 2022*: 321-341.
17. Albao MJ, Calsis JR, Dancel JO, De Juan-Corpuz LM, Corpuz RD. Silver nanoparticle-infused hydrogels for biomedical applications: A comprehensive review. *J Chin Chem Soc.* 2025;72(2):124-162. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jccs.202400303>
18. Zare EN, Jamaledin R, Naserzadeh P, Afjeh-Dana E, Ashtari B, Hosseinzadeh M, et al. Metal-based nanostructures/PLGA nanocomposites: antimicrobial activity, cytotoxicity, and their biomedical applications. *ACS Appl Mater Interfaces.* 2019;12(3):3279-3300. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acsaami.9b19435>
19. Blattmann CO. Application and Synthesis of Polymer Nanocomposites (Doctoral dissertation, ETH Zurich), 2018. <https://doi.org/10.3929/ethz-b-000313828>
20. Njuguna J, Pielichowski K, Zhu H, editors. *Health and environmental safety of nanomaterials: polymer nanocomposites and other materials containing nanoparticles.* Woodhead Publishing; 2021.
21. Heidari M, Al Hasani S, Thangavel S, Kumar A. Challenges and perspectives of polymeric nanocomposites for biomedical applications. *Nanomanufacturing Techniques in Sustainable Healthcare Applications.* 2024:317-340.
22. Talikowska M, Fu X, Lisak G. Application of conducting polymers to wound care and skin tissue engineering: A review. *Biosens Bioelectron.* 2019;135:50-63. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bios.2019.04.001>
23. Razavi M, Khandan A. Safety, regulatory issues, long-term biotoxicity, and the processing environment. In *Nanobiomaterials Science, Development and Evaluation 2017*: 261-279. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-08-100963-5.00014-8>
24. de Souza Cardoso Delfino C, de Paula Pereira MC, dos Santos Oliveira M, de Carvalho Favareto I, Valladão VS, de Oliveira Mota M, et al. Scaling nanopharmaceutical production for personalized medicine: challenges and strategies. *J Nanopart Res.* 2025;27(4):1-19. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11051-025-06293-3>
25. Mamidi N, Delgadillo RM, Castrejón JV. Unconventional and facile production of a stimuli-responsive multifunctional system for simultaneous drug delivery and environmental remediation. *Environ Sci Nano.* 2021;8(7):2081-2097. <https://doi.org/10.1039/D1EN00354B>
26. Khalifi Taghzouti O. A Comprehensive Review of Graphene-Hydroxyapatite Composites: Promising Biomaterials for Bone Tissue Regeneration. *Trends Biomater Artif Organs.* 2025;39(1):91-107.
27. Bui VK, Park D, Lee YC. Chitosan combined with ZnO, TiO₂ and Ag nanoparticles for antimicrobial wound healing applications: a mini review of the research trends. *Polymers.* 2017;9(1):21. <https://doi.org/10.3390/polym9010021>
28. Mansuriya BD, Altintas Z. Applications of graphene quantum dots in biomedical sensors. *Sensors.* 2020;20(4):1072. <https://doi.org/10.3390/s20041072>
29. Kolawole FO, Kolawole SK, Owa FA, Madueke CI, Adigun OD. Polymeric nanocomposites for artificial implants. In *Hybrid polymeric nanocomposites from agricultural waste 2022*: 321-341.
30. Jaleh B, Fakhri P. Infrared and Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy for nanofillers and their nanocomposites. In *Spectroscopy of polymer nanocomposites 2016*: 112-129. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-323-40183-8.00005-7>
31. Girão AV, Caputo G, Ferro MC. Application of scanning electron microscopy-energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (SEM-EDS). In *Comprehensive analytical chemistry 2017*;75:153-168. <https://doi.org/10.1016/bs.coac.2016.10.002>
32. Jinschek JR. Advances in the environmental transmission electron microscope (ETEM) for nanoscale in situ studies of gas-solid interactions. *Chem Commun.* 2014;50(21):2696-2706. <https://doi.org/10.1039/C3CC49092K>
33. Menczel JD, Judovits L, Prime RB, Bair HE, Reading M, Swier S. Differential scanning calorimetry (DSC). *Thermal analysis of polymers: Fundamentals and applications.* 2009:7-239. <https://doi.org/10.1002/9780470423837>
34. Nabinejad O, Sujan D, Rahman ME, Davies IJ. Determination of filler content for natural filler polymer composite by thermogravimetric analysis. *J Therm Anal Calorim.* 2015;122(1):227-233. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10973-015-4681-2>
35. Muthusamy Subramanian AV, Thanigachalam M. Mechanical performances, in-vitro antibacterial study and bone stress prediction of ceramic particulates filled polyether ether ketone nanocomposites for medical applications. *J Polym Res.* 2022;29(8):318. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10965-022-03180-6>
36. Roy S, Chakraborty P, Das SK, Chakraborty S. Cytotoxicity and biocompatibility of bionanocomposites. In *Bionanocomposites in Tissue Engineering and Regenerative Medicine 2021*: 565-586. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-821280-6.00013-1>