INNOVATIONS IN BUSINESS AND STRATEGIC MANAGEMENT
Apr-Jun 2024, VOL. 2, ISSUE 2, pp. 24-27
https://doi.org/10.61577/ibsm.2024.1000010

RESEAPRO JOURNALS

MINI REVIEW

OPEN ACCESS

Strategic synergies and market impacts: A qualitative examination of the Johnson controls and Tyco merger

Sachidananda Pattanaik, Pritam Biswas, Sunil Pasi, Tusharkanti Manna, Monu Tiwari, Koustav Chatterjee

Department of International Business, Indian Institute of Foreign Trade, New Delhi, India

ABSTRACT

This paper examines the strategic merger between Johnson Controls and Tyco International in 2016. The merger aimed to leverage both companies' strengths to create a global leader in building products and technology solutions. Johnson Controls, known for its expertise in energy efficiency, automotive batteries, and building systems, combined with Tyco's leadership in fire protection and security solutions, envisioned a diversified entity capable of delivering comprehensive solutions across various sectors. The paper outlines the financial, strategic, and legal aspects of the merger, emphasizing the anticipated synergies and growth opportunities. Financially, the merger projected significant cost savings and revenue enhancements through operational efficiencies and expanded market reach. Strategically, the integration of product lines and technological innovations aimed to position the new entity as a frontrunner in the emerging market of smart buildings and energy solutions. Legally, the merger adhered to stringent regulatory frameworks, ensuring compliance and smooth transition. This paper also highlights the substantial value creation for shareholders and the potential for sustained growth in the highly competitive global market. Through a detailed analysis of pre- and post-merger scenarios, the paper provides insights into the complexities and benefits of such strategic corporate consolidations.

KEYWORDS

Johnson controls; Tyco international; Merger and Acquisition (M&A); Market expansion; Strategic rationale

ARTICLE HISTORY

Received 13 May 2024;
Revised 10 June 2024;
Accepted 17 June 2024

Introduction

In today's fast-paced and constantly evolving business environmeThe completion of the merger between Johnson Controls and Tyco International in 2016 was a significant event in the business world, especially in the building efficiency, fire protection, and security services industries. This merger formed a strong company ready to dominate the international market with a wide range of products and services. This review article examines the various facets of the merger, providing a thorough analysis of its strategic, financial, and operational consequences. The goal is to give a thorough comprehension of how this merger has changed the industries' structure and to examine the potential paths for the merged company's future [1].

Overview of Johnson controls

Johnson Controls, a top provider of diverse technology and industrial services worldwide, has operations in over 150 countries and has a workforce of around 130,000 employees. The company focuses on enhancing energy and operational efficiencies in buildings, manufacturing car batteries, and making seating components for cars. The Building Efficiency division concentrates on improving energy usage in non-residential buildings through products and services, while the Power Solutions division offers cutting-edge battery technologies [2]. This department's focus on conserving energy and promoting sustainability is in line with the growing global push for eco-friendly and energy-efficient options.

Overview of Tyco international

Tyco International was the biggest specialized fire protection and security company globally, catering to more than three million customers globally. Tyco, with approximately 57,000 employees globally in over 50 countries, provided a wide range of fire detection, fire suppression, and electronic security systems. Its offerings encompassed installation, monitoring, and upkeep, supplying complete solutions for customers [3]. Tyco's significant position in the fire and security sectors enhanced Johnson Controls' capabilities, resulting in a strategic merger.

Deal configuration and strategic goals

The merger was carefully planned to ensure that both companies would gain maximum benefits. Johnson Controls had around 56% ownership in the new company, with Tyco shareholders owning roughly 44%. The new company, Johnson Controls plc, was based in Cork, Ireland, taking advantage of Ireland's reduced corporate tax rate of 12.5%. This action was expected to result in savings of hundreds of millions in taxes each year for the company, ultimately improving its financial strength and capacity for growth [3].

The merger's leadership structure was created to utilize the strengths of both companies. The CEO of Johnson Controls, Alex Molinaroli, assumed the position of CEO of the merged company, while George Oliver, Tyco's CEO, was appointed as President and COO. This combination of leadership was intended to guarantee consistency and take advantage of the knowledge of both organizations [3,4].

Reasons for merging

The fusion was motivated by various important factors such as tax inversion advantages, operational synergies, and

***Correspondence:** Mr. Sachidananda Pattanaik, Department of International Business, Indian Institute of Foreign Trade, New Delhi, 110016, India, e-mail: sachidananda_ev10@iift.edu

opportunities for market expansion. Moving the company headquarters to Ireland was a strategic tax inversion decision that allowed Johnson Controls to take advantage of a much lower corporate tax rate. This choice was a result of a larger pattern in which American companies aimed to reestablish themselves overseas for tax benefits, despite receiving attention from regulators and government officials. Operational synergies were also a crucial factor. Johnson Controls and Tyco sought to merge their strengths to establish a top provider of integrated solutions in building efficiency, fire, and security industries. The combination was anticipated to produce significant cost reductions and increased revenue prospects, reinforcing their market standings [5].

This mini review paper seeks to examine the different aspects of the merger between Johnson Controls and Tyco, offering insight into the strategic, financial, and operational factors that influenced this collaboration. It will assess the impact of the merger on the industry, the anticipated benefits from combining forces, and the upcoming strategies for the united company. Through a thorough examination, this article aims to offer valuable perspectives on a major business deal in recent times. The merger between Johnson Controls and Tyco is a strategic consolidation that has the potential to dominate the global market in building efficiency, fire protection, and security services. The merger's thorough strategy and careful alignment pave the way for substantial growth and innovation in the future [5].

Financial Analysis of the Organizations

Both companies anticipated accelerated revenue growth from the merger. Johnson Controls' Building Efficiency division, with its focus on energy optimization, complemented Tyco's fire and security offerings, enabling the combined entity to provide a more comprehensive suite of solutions to customers. This integration was expected to open new markets and enhance cross-selling opportunities [6].

The merger projected significant synergies, estimated at $650 million annually. These synergies included $500 million in operational and general and administrative cost reductions and $150 million in tax benefits. Achieving these synergies was critical to improving the earnings profile of the combined company, with full realization expected by the third-year post-merger [7].

The transaction included committed financing to cover approximately $3.9 billion in cash consideration. This financing was structured to ensure that the combined company maintained a strong investment-grade balance sheet, essential for sustaining operational flexibility and investment capacity [5,6].

Post-merger, the company planned to maintain a balanced capital allocation strategy, supporting robust and growing dividends while also investing in high-return projects. This approach aimed to deliver consistent value to shareholders while ensuring the long-term competitiveness of the company [7,8]

Strategic Rationale

The strategic rationale for the merger centered on creating a diversified global leader in building products and technology solutions. By combining Johnson Controls' expertise in building controls, HVAC equipment, and energy storage with Tyco's fire and security solutions, the new entity aimed to offer a comprehensive portfolio that addressed the needs of various market segments [8,9]. This integration was expected to drive innovation, particularly in smart building technologies, where the convergence of HVAC, fire, security, and energy management systems could offer significant operational efficiencies and enhanced user experiences [9].

Compelling strategic rationale

The merger created a global leader with approximately $32 billion in annual revenue, positioning it to capitalize on emerging trends in building technology and smart infrastructure. The strategic combination of best-in-class products, installation, and service capabilities enabled the new entity to offer integrated solutions that addressed the complex needs of modern buildings. This comprehensive approach was expected to drive significant growth opportunities, particularly in the rapidly evolving smart market, where connectivity and automation were becoming increasingly important [10,11].

Highly complementary combination

Johnson Controls brought to the merger its leadership in building controls and HVAC equipment, complemented by its robust global branch network and expertise in smart building technologies. The company's focus on energy efficiency and sustainability aligned well with the growing demand for green building solutions [12-15].

Tyco contributed its extensive fire and security solutions, along with its strong global presence and innovative pipeline. The company's expertise in fire detection, suppression, and electronic security systems provided critical safety and protection capabilities, enhancing the overall value proposition of the merged entity [13].

By combining these complementary strengths, the merger aimed to offer a comprehensive suite of solutions that addressed the diverse needs of customers in various sectors, including commercial buildings, healthcare, education, retail, and industrial facilities [12,13].

Merger and Acquisition Transactions

The formation of Johnson Controls plc involved several key steps and legal considerations. The combined company was headquartered in Cork, Ireland, with operational headquarters in Milwaukee, Wisconsin. The board of directors included representatives from both Johnson Controls and Tyco, ensuring balanced governance and strategic oversight [12,14].

The transaction required approval from shareholders of both companies and regulatory clearances in multiple jurisdictions. Financial advisors, including Center view Partners LLC and Barclays Capital Inc., played crucial roles in structuring the deal and ensuring compliance with regulatory requirements. The legal framework provided transparency and accountability, essential for maintaining shareholder confidence and securing regulatory approval [15].

Legal rationale

The legal rationale for the merger was grounded in adherence to Irish takeover rules, which provided a clear framework for conducting the transaction. The deal was structured to ensure compliance with all relevant legal and regulatory requirements, minimizing potential risks and uncertainties. Financial advisors

https://doi.org/10.61577/ibsm.2024.1000010

and legal experts were involved throughout the process to ensure that the transaction was executed in a manner that protected the interests of both companies' shareholders and complied with applicable laws [16,17].

Significant Synergy Opportunity

The merger projected significant synergies, estimated at $650 million annually. These synergies included $500 million in operational and general and administrative cost reductions and $150 million in tax benefits. Achieving these synergies was critical to improving the earnings profile of the combined company, with full realization expected by the third-year post-merger [18,19].

Operational synergies were expected to come from integrating the companies product lines and leveraging their complementary branch networks. By streamlining operations and eliminating redundancies, the merged entity aimed to achieve significant cost savings. Additionally, the tax synergies from relocating the corporate headquarters to Ireland were expected to provide substantial financial benefits, enhancing the overall profitability of the company [19,20].

Shareholders' Point of View

From a shareholder perspective, the merger was expected to deliver significant value. Johnson Controls shareholders would own approximately 56% of the combined company, receiving $3.9 billion in cash. The anticipated tax savings and operational efficiencies promised enhanced shareholder value through improved earnings and increased dividends [21].

Tyco shareholders, owning 44% of the combined company, were also expected to benefit from the merger's synergies and the enhanced market position of the new entity. The combined company's strong investment-grade balance sheet and balanced capital allocation strategy aimed to provide consistent and growing dividends, further enhancing shareholder returns [22,23].

Substantial value creation

The merger was poised to create substantial value through cost savings, improved earnings, and enhanced market positioning. The combined entity's comprehensive product and service portfolio aimed to deliver superior value to shareholders and customers alike [24].

By leveraging their complementary strengths, Johnson Controls and Tyco aimed to achieve significant operational efficiencies and cost savings. The anticipated $650 million in annual synergies, coupled with the tax benefits from the relocation to Ireland, were expected to drive substantial improvements in profitability. Additionally, the combined company's enhanced market presence and expanded product offerings positioned it for sustained growth and value creation [20,25].

Revenue Mix for Balanced Growth

The merger allowed for a balanced revenue mix across different sectors, including large institutions, commercial buildings, retail, industrial, and residential markets. This diversification aimed to mitigate risks and ensure stable, long-term growth [17,23].

By integrating their product lines and leveraging their complementary branch networks, the merged entity aimed to offer a comprehensive suite of solutions that addressed the diverse needs of customers in various sectors. This balanced revenue mix was expected to enhance the company's resilience to market fluctuations and provide a stable foundation for sustained growth [20,22].

Future Directions

The merger of Johnson Controls and Tyco sets a robust foundation for future growth. Moving forward, the company should focus on advancing smart building technologies by leveraging and advanced analytics to create connected buildings that improve energy efficiency, security, and occupant comfort. Expanding into emerging markets such as China, India, Southeast Asia, and Latin America is crucial, where tailoring products to local needs and forming strategic partnerships can capture significant market share. Enhancing sustainability and energy efficiency will meet the growing demand for green building solutions. This includes developing advanced energy storage technologies and promoting renewable energy adoption and develop platforms for predictive maintenance, energy optimization, and security threat detection will improve operational efficiency and reduce costs [24,25].

Expanding service offerings through comprehensive packages that include installation, monitoring, maintenance, and upgrades will enhance customer loyalty and open new revenue streams. Strengthening cybersecurity by prioritizing robust security protocols and integrating security features into product development is essential for protecting connected systems. Pursuing strategic acquisitions that complement existing capabilities or provide new market access, especially in smart building technology and energy solutions, can drive growth. Investing in talent acquisition, fostering a culture of innovation, and ensuring strong leadership through development programs will support the company's strategic goals. Enhancing customer engagement and experience through personalized interactions, digital platforms, and CRM systems will refine products and services based on customer feedback. Exploring new business models such as subscription-based services, performance-based contracting, and bundled solutions will provide flexible, comprehensive value to customers. By focusing on these areas, Johnson Controls plc can leverage its strengths, capitalize on emerging opportunities, and drive sustained growth and innovation [26].

Conclusions

The Johnson Controls and Tyco merger of 2016 represents a strategic consolidation in the building efficiency, fire protection, and security sectors. By leveraging tax efficiencies, operational synergies, and complementary capabilities, the merger aimed to enhance shareholder value and market leadership. This review has examined the merger's strategic, financial, and operational dimensions, highlighting its significance in the corporate landscape. The strategic rationale for the merger centered on creating a diversified global leader in building products and technology solutions. The merger projected significant synergies, including $500 million in operational and general and administrative cost reductions and $150 million in tax benefits. From a shareholder perspective, the merger was expected to deliver significant value. The combined entity's comprehensive product and service portfolio aimed to deliver superior value to shareholders and customers alike.

https://doi.org/10.61577/ibsm.2024.1000010

Disclosure statement

No potential conflict of interest was reported by the author.

References

1. Puck J, Filatotchev I. Finance and the multinational company: Building bridges between finance and global strategy research. Glob Strateg J. 2020;10(4):655-675. https://doi.org/10.1002/gsj.1330
2. Queen DA. Current global theories, trends and developments in the analysis and valuation of a target company. Modern Economy: Problems and Solutions. 2021;4:88-96. https://doi.org/10.17308/meps.2021.4/2578
3. Gupta PK. Mergers and acquisitions (M&A): The strategic concepts for the nuptials of corporate sector. Innov J Bus Manag. 2012;1(4):60-68.
4. Liang J, Xie E, Redding KS. The international market for corporate control and high-valuation cross-border acquisitions: Exploring trends and patterns. Int J Law Manag. 2017;59(6):876-898. https://doi.org/10.1108/IJLMA-04-2016-0040
5. Leepsa NM, Mishra CS. Theory and practice of mergers and acquisitions: Empirical evidence from Indian cases. IIMS J Manag Sci. 2016;7(2):179-194. http://dx.doi.org/10.5958/0976-173X.2016.00016.6
6. Tsyplakov S. Can post-merger integration costs and synergy delays explain leverage dynamics of mergers? Q J Finance. 2019;9(04):1950012. https://doi.org/10.1142/S2010139219500125
7. Gaughan PA. Mergers, acquisitions, and corporate restructurings. John Wiley & Sons; 2010.
8. Rosenblum D. The Futility of Walls: How Traveling Corporations Threaten State Sovereignty. Tul L Rev. 2018;93:645.
9. Narotzki D. Targeting Corporate Inversions-Are We Doing the Right Thing? Fla St U Bus Rev. 2017;16:47. https://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.2736350
10. Qvist-Sørensen P. Applying IIoT and AI–Opportunities, requirements and challenges for industrial machine and equipment manufacturers to expand their services. Cent Eur Bus Rev. 2020;9(2):46-77. https://dx.doi.org/10.18267/j.cebr.234
11. Ben-Amar W, Francoeur C, Hafsi T, Labelle R. What makes better boards? A closer look at diversity and ownership. Br J Manag. 2013; 24(1):85-101. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8551.2011.00789.x
12. Arikan AM, Stulz RM. Corporate acquisitions, diversification, and the firm's life cycle. J Finance. 2016;71(1):139-194. https://doi.org/10.1111/jofi.12362
13. Alhenawi Y, Stilwell M. Does complexity matter? A case of mergers and acquisitions. Rev Quant Finance Account. 2017;48(1):47-82. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11156-017-0616-2
14. Gormley TA, Matsa DA. Playing it safe? Managerial preferences, risk, and agency conflicts. J Financ Econ. 2016;122(3):431-455. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfineco.2016.08.002
15. Alexandridis G, Mavrovitis CF, Travlos NG. How have M&As changed? Evidence from the sixth merger wave. Eur J Finance. 2017; 23(9):825-853. https://doi.org/10.1080/1351847X.2011.628401
16. Hoberg G, Phillips G. Conglomerate industry choice and product language. Manag Sci. 2016;62(6):1974-1994. https://doi.org/10.1287/mnsc.2016.2693
17. Tihanyi L. Organizational Learning and International Management: The Contributions of Marjorie A. Lyles. In Global Entrepreneurship: Past, Present & Future. 2016;(29):19-25. https://doi.org/10.1108/S1571-502720160000029003
18. Bena J, Li K. Corporate innovations and mergers and acquisitions. J Finance. 2018;69(5):1923-1960. https://doi.org/10.1111/jofi.12059
19. Renneboog L, Szilagyi PG. The role of shareholder proposals in corporate governance. J Corp Finance. 2020;60:101518. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcorpfin.2010.10.002
20. Ellis K, Moeller SB, Schlingemann FP, Stulz RM. Globalization, governance, and the returns to cross-border acquisitions. J Appl Corp Finance. 2017;29(3):41-48. https://doi.org/10.3386/w16676
21. Fu F, Lin L, Officer MS. Acquisitions driven by stock overvaluation: are they good deals? J Financ Econ. 2013;109(1):24-39. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfineco.2013.02.013
22. Erel I, Jang Y, Weisbach MS. Do acquisitions relieve target firms' financial constraints? J Finance. 2015;70(1):289-328. https://doi.org/10.1111/jofi.12155
23. Bertrand M, Black SE, Jensen S, Lleras-Muney A. Breaking the glass ceiling? The effect of board quotas on female labor market outcomes in Norway. Rev Econ Stud. 2019;86(1):191-239. https://doi.org/10.1093/restud/rdy032
24. Yang M, Hyland M. Similarity in cross-border mergers and acquisitions: An empirical examination of the impact of cultural and institutional distance on firm performance in China. Int Bus Rev. 2017;26(3):1-18. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.intman.2012.09.001
25. Bargeron LL, Lehn KM, Zutter CJ. Sarbanes-Oxley and corporate risk-taking. J Account Econ. 2019;67(2-3):268-286. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacceco.2009.05.001
26. Komninos N. Smart environments and smart growth: Connecting innovation strategies and digital growth strategies. Int J Knowl Based Dev. 2016;7(3):240-263. https://doi.org/10.1504/IJKBD.2016.078536

https://doi.org/10.61577/ibsm.2024.1000010